1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| Applicant: | Paul A. Martinez |) | Dkt. No.: | UTL 00075 |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|
| Serial No.: | 09/943,954 |) | Art Unit: | 2827 |
| Filed: | August 31, 2001 |) | Examiner: | Tuan T. Dinh |
| Fitle: | SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR REDUCING APPARENT HEIGHT OF A BOARD SYSTEM |))) | | |

ELECTION AND TRAVERSAL OF RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

ELECTION

The Examiner stated that "restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a system for reducing apparent height of a board system, classified in class 361, subclass 761 classified in class 702.

08/11/2003 JICHTHA 600 0001119 11/43 11/45 correspondence is being faxed to the fax number designated in the Office Action, 01. FC:1251 703-305-1341 and is addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date of Deposit: November 25, 2002

William J. Kolegraff

II. Claims 13-19, drawn to a method for reducing apparent height of a board system, classified in class 29, subclass 840."

Applicant hereby elects Invention I for examination.

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement. The Examiner stated in section 1 of the Office Action that:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method can be made in different processes such as adhering, etching, or coating instead of soldering a carrier to a PCB by using a solder material.

Applicant respectfully points out an ambiguity in this reasoning. The Examiner confuses methods and products in stating that "the method can be made." Methods are performed, and products are made. Thus, in attempting to distinguish the method and the product, the Examiner convolutes the standard for methods and products. Applicant believes that the Examiner is saying that the product can be made by different processes, such as "adhering, etching, or coating."

Applicant respectfully traverses this assertion. The product cannot be made by different processes, such as "adhering, etching, or coating." Admittedly, these processes could be performed on a device used in conjunction with the invention, but they could not replace the soldier material. Each of the independent claims listed in Invention I, claims 1 and 9-12, recite "a solder material soldering..." Thus, a soldering step is necessary to make the products claimed in each of claims 1 and 9-12 and all those claims depending therefrom.

Alternatively, if the Examiner is saying that the process can be used to "make other and materially different product," Applicant respectfully traverses this assertion. The only

independent claim listed in Invention II, claim 13, recites "soldering the carrier..."

Therefore, the process as claimed requires a soldering step and a soldering material.

Certainly, other steps may be performed in producing an end product, but that end product will include the product of Invention I if the process of Invention II is performed.

In addition to traversing the restriction requirement, Applicant respectfully asserts that claims 1-12 are now in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

In sum, applicant has elected Invention I for examination and traversed the restriction requirement. Applicant respectfully asserts that the commonality of solder material and a soldering step between Invention I and Invention II cannot be circumvented by "adhering, etching, or coating instead of soldering."

Respectfully submitted November 25, 2002. Please address any questions or comments to the undersigned.

Sincerely

William J. Kolegraff Kyscera Wireless Corp.

PO Box 928289

San Diego, CA 92192-8289

Phone: 858/882-2000

Reg. No. 41,125

PTO/SB/17 (11-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

ID:

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2001

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

| 10.00 |
|-------|
| |

| Complete if Known | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Application Number | 09/943,954 | | | | |
| Filing Date | August 31, 2001 | | | | |
| First Named Inventor | Paul A. Martinez | | | | |
| Examiner Name | Tuan T. Dinh | | | | |
| Group Art Unit | 2827 | | | | |
| Attorney Docket No. | UTL 00075 | | | | |

| METHOD OF PAYMENT | FEE CALCULATION (continued) | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 1. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge | | 3. ADDITIONAL FEES | | | | |
| indicated fees and credit any overpayments to: Deposit | Large Small | | | | | |
| Account Number | Fee | Entit | y Fee | Entit Fee | · | Fee Paid |
| Deposit | Code | | Cod | | Fee Description | reeraiu |
| Account Name | 105 | 130 | 205 | 65 | Surcharge - late filing fee or oath | |
| Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 | 127 | 50 | 227 | 25 | Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet | |
| Applicant claims small entity status. | 139 | 130 | 139 | 130 | Non-English specification | |
| See 37 CFR 1.27 | 147 2 | 2,520 | 147 | 2,520 | For filing a request for ex parte reexamination | |
| 2. Payment Enclosed: | 112 | 920* | 112 | 920* | Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action | |
| Check Credit card Order Other | 113 1 | 840* | 113 | 1 840* | Requesting publication of SIR after | |
| FEE CALCULATION | '' ' | 1,040 | 1.0 | ,,040 | Examiner action | 110.00 |
| 1. BASIC FILING FEE | 115 | 110 | 215 | 55 | Extension for reply within first month | 110.00 |
| Large Entity Small Entity | 116 | 390 | | 195 | Extension for reply within second month | |
| Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Paid | 117 | 890 | 217 | | Extension for reply within third month | |
| 101 710 201 355 Utility filing fee | 118 1 | 1,390 | 218 | 695 | Extension for reply within fourth month | |
| 106 320 206 160 Design filing fee | 128 1 | 1,890 | 228 | 945 | Extension for reply within fifth month | |
| 107 490 207 245 Plant filing fee | 119 | 310 | 219 | 155 | Notice of Appeal | |
| 108 710 208 355 Reissue filing fee | 120 | 310 | 220 | 155 | Filing a brief in support of an appeal | |
| 114 150 214 75 Provisional filing fee | 121 | 270 | 221 | | Request for oral hearing | |
| SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) | 138 1 140 | | | 1,510 | Petition to institute a public use proceeding | |
| | | 110 | 240 | 55 | Petition to revive - unavoidable | |
| 2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES Fee from | | | 241 | | Petition to revive - unintentional | |
| Extra Claims below Fee Paid | 142 1 | • | | 620 | Utility issue fee (or reissue) | |
| Total Claims -20** = X = Independent -3** = X | 143 144 | 440 600 | 243 244 | 300 | Design issue fee Plant issue fee | |
| Claims Multiple Dependent | 122 | 130 | 122 | | Petitions to the Commissioner | |
| Islanding Deposition | 123 | 50 | 123 | 50 | Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) | |
| Large Entity Small Entity | | 180 | | 180 | Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt | |
| Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$) | 126 | | - | | | |
| 103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20 | 581 | 40 | 581 | 40 | Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties) | |
| 102 80 202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3 | 146 | 710 | 246 | 355 | Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a)) | |
| 104 270 204 135 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid | 149 | 710 | 249 | 355 | For each additional invention to be | |
| 109 80 209 40 ** Reissue independent claims over original patent | ,,,, | . , , | 0 | 300 | examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b)) | |
| 110 18 210 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 | 179 | 710 | 279 | 355 | Request for Continued Examination (RCE) | |
| and over original patent | 169 | 900 | 169 | 900 | Request for expedited examination of a design application | |
| SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) | Other | fee (sp | ecify) |) | | |
| **or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above | *Redu | ced by | Basi | c Filina | Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 11 | 0.00 |
| or manuscripteriously pare, jr grater, i or more as a see above | | | | | | |

SUBMITTED BY Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) Telephone 41,125 Name (Print/Type) 858-882-2000 November 25, 2002 Signature Date

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



10300 Campus Point Drive, San Diego, CA 92121-1582

COVER FAX SHEET

DATE:

May 2, 2003

TO:

Commissioner for Patents

PHONE:

703-305-9883

FAX:

703-305-1341

FROM:

Lester Anderson

PHONE:

858-882-3276

Kyocera Wireless Corp.

FAX:

858-882-2485

RE:

Office Action 9/24/02

Examiner: Dinh, Tuan T. Application No.: 09/943,954

Art Unit: 2827

Filing Date: 08/31/01

Inventor: Paul A. Martinez

Number of pages including cover sheet:

8

MESSAGE

Dear Mr. Dinh,

Please find the attached Election and Traversal of Restriction Requirement originally faxed November 25, 2002. Additionally, the fax confirmation from the November 25th fax is included.


Sincerely

ester JAnderson

Reg. No. 45,833

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to the fax number designated in the Office Action, 703-305-1341 and is addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date of Deposit: May 2, 2003





10300 Campus Point Drive, San Diego, CA 92121-1582

FAX COVER SHEET

DATE:

FROM:

RE:

November 25, 2002

TO:

Commissioner for Patents

PHONE:

703-305-9883

FAX:

703-305-1341

William J. Kolegraff M PH

PHONE: FAX:

858-882-3017 858-882-3650

Kyocera Wireless Corp. F

Office Action 9/24/02

Examiner: Diph Tuar

Examiner: Dinh, Tuan T. Application No.: 09/943,954

Art Unit: 2827

Filing Date: 08/31/01

Inventor: Paul A. Martinez

Number of pages including cover sheet:

6

MESSAGE

Please find attached a response to the above referenced Office Action (Restriction/Election), a fee transmittal, and a credit card form.